UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/592,972	09/15/2006	Christopher Peter Jones	M03B336	4081
71134 Edwards Vacuu	7590 01/20/201 am. Inc.	EXAMINER		
	COLLEGE BOULEV	PHASGE, ARUN S		
SANTA CLARA, CA 95054			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1724		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/20/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LORETTA.SANDOVAL@EDWARDSVACUUM.COM

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/592,972		JONES ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Arun S. Phas	_	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Oc	ctober 2010						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·		,					
Disposition of Claims								
4) 🔀	4) Claim(s) 1-9,12-14 and 18-29 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-29 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-9, 12-14, 18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election req	uirement.					
Applica	tion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required	if the drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) 6)	Notice of Informal Pa					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-9, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akahori et al. (Akahori), U.S Patent 6,423,205 in view of Sato et al. (Sato), U.S. Patent 6,733,646.

.

The Akahori patent discloses the claimed method for treating a raw feeding containing ions comprising an electromembrane device having means for conveying the

Art Unit: 1724

raw feed thereto and treated feed therefrom, an anode, a cathode, an electrolyte solution and means for conveying at least one stream of the electrolyte solution between the cathode and anode which are arranged to apply an electric current to drive electrodeionisation in the electromembrane device for removal of the ions from the raw feed into a concentrate, wherein the raw feed is not in direct contact with the anode or the cathode; and means for transferring selected ions from the electrolyte solution into concentrate upon application of the current (see col. 3, lines 30-61).

The Akahori patent discloses wherein the means for transferring selected ions comprises an anion exchange membrane adjacent the cathode and/or a cation exchange membrane adjacent the anode (see figure 4 and col. 3, lines 30-35). The patent further discloses the use of water as the electrolyte and further limitations to the means for conveying liquids as claimed (see abstract).

The Akahori patent fails to disclose the recirculating the electrolyte solution between the cathode and the anode. The patent further fails to disclose the contacting of the electrode to the membrane as claimed.

The Sato patent teaches the modification to recirculate the electrolyte solution between the cathode and the anode (see figure 1). The Sato patent further teaches the

conventional use of electrodes contacting the membrane forming the electrode chamber (see claim 5).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Akahori by the teachings of Sato.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification, because the Sato patent teaches the modification of recirculating the water through the electrode compartment to neutralize the water as claimed (see col. 2, lines 1-8) and the contacting of the electrode with the membrane.

Claim 18 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akahori as applied to claims above, and further in view of McRae et al. (McRae), U.S. Patent 3,330,750

The Akahori patent fails to disclose that the electromembrane is part of a waste fluoride treatment system. The McRae patent is cited to show the use of electromembrane devices used to treat HF containing gases, which would read upon the limitation claimed (see col. 4, lines 1-4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Akahori by the teachings of McRae.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this modification, because the McRae patent teaches the use of an electromembrane device to treat HF containing gases.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Arun S. Phasge/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1724